

Erstellung eines qualitativ
hochwertigen homöopathischen
Fallberichts



Petra Weiermayer, Dr.med.vet.

EAVH Diplom, Fachtierärztin für Homöopathie

Präsidentin der Österreichischen Gesellschaft für
Veterinärmedizinische Homöopathie (ÖGVH)

Leitung Sektion Forschung der Wissenschaftlichen
Gesellschaft für Homöopathie (WissHom)

Jahrestagung 19.9.2022



Curriculum vitae

- 1999 - 2007 Diplom- und Doktoratsstudium der Veterinärmedizin an der VMU Wien
- 2008 - 2009 Internship an der Pferdeklinik der VMU Wien
- 2009 - 2014 Klinische Gutachterin der Österreichischen Agentur für Gesundheit und Ernährungssicherheit GmbH (AGES) – Zulassungsstudien Onkologika
- 2012 - Tierarztpraxis Dr. Weiermayer mit Schwerpunkt Homöopathie
- 2014 - Research Subcommittee of European Committee for Homeopathy (ECH)
- 2015 - 2018 General Secretary of International Association for Veterinary Homeopathy (IAVH)
- 2018 - Präsidentin Österreichischen Gesellschaft für Veterinärmedizinische Homöopathie (ÖGVH)
- 2019 - Leitung Sektion Forschung Wissenschaftliche Gesellschaft für Homöopathie (WissHom)
- 2022 - Stellvertretende Schriftführerin Österreichischer Dachverband ärztlicher Ganzheitsmedizin

Anamnese bogen (1)

Homöopathische Anamnese

Datum: .2020

Besitzer:

Stall:

Patient: Name
 Tierart Pferd
 Rasse
 Alter
 Geschlecht
 Farbe
 Abzeichen
 Größe/Gewicht

Spontanbericht – Grund für TA-Konsultation:

Lenkbericht:

Wer ist der Patient?

Miasma

Bisherige Erkrankungen und Behandlungen - Verlauf?

Bisherige Verletzungen?

Bisherige Operationen - Heilungsverlauf?

Vorfahren – Anfälligkeiten, Krankheiten?

Anamnesebogen (2)

Regelmäßig entwurmt – gut vertragen?

Regelmäßig geimpft – Impfkomplicationen?

Anfälligkeiten für bestimmte Erkrankungen/krankmachende Einflüsse?

Unverträglichkeiten – Futter/Medikamente?

Medikamente/Futterzusätze, die regelmäßig gegeben werden?

Kopf-zu-Fuß

Kopf

Schwindel

Müdigkeit

Augen

Anamnese bogen (3)

Ohren

Nase

Maul

Hals

Schilddrüse

Herz

Lunge

Magen-Darm

Leber-Galle-Pankreas

Niere-Blase

Genitalorgane

Anamnese bogen (4)

Wirbelsäule

Extremitäten

Haut-Fell

Blut

Drüsen

Nerven

Schweiß

Schlaf

Fütterung

Appetit

Durst

Anamnese bogen (5)

Verlangen

Abneigung-Ekel

Unverträglichkeit

Haltung-Umfeld-Besitzer

Verhalten

Angst vor

**Klinische Untersuchung + etwaige
weiterführende diagnostische
Maßnahmen zur Erstellung einer
Diagnose und Prognose
entscheidend!**

Guidelines

- Guideline für Fallberichte <http://www.care-statement.org/>
- Guideline für homöopathische Fallberichte “HOM-CASE CARE Extension Checklist”
<https://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/homeopathic-clinical-case-reports-development-of-a-supplement-hom-case-to-the-care-clinical-case-reporting-guideline/>
- Beurteilung des kausalen Zusammenhangs zwischen homöopathischer Therapie und Therapieerfolg anhand der Modified Naranjo Criteria/MONARCH Kriterien
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32215892/>
- Case Reporting in Homeopathy–An Overview of Guidelines and Scientific Tools. M. Teut, R.A. van Haselen, L. Rutten, C.D. Lamba, G. Bleul, S. Ulbrich-Zürni. Homeopathy. 2021.
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34521146/>

HOM-CASE CARE Extension Checklist (1)

Topic	Item	HOM-CASE CARE Extension Checklist	Reported on page
Title	1	The words "case report" should be in the title along with what is of greatest interest in this case	_____
Key Words	2	The key elements of this case in 2 to 5 key words	_____
Abstract	3a	Introduction—What is unique about this case? What does it add to the medical literature?	_____
	3b	The main symptoms of the patient and the important clinical findings	_____
	3c	The main diagnoses, therapeutics interventions, and outcomes	_____
	3d	Conclusion—What are the main "take-away" lessons from this case?	_____
Introduction	4	Brief background summary of this case referencing the relevant medical literature	_____
Patient Information	5a	Demographic information (such as age, gender, ethnicity, occupation)	_____
	5b	Main symptoms of the patient (his or her chief complaints)	_____
	5c	Medical, family, and psychosocial history including co-morbidities, and relevant genetic information ..	_____
	5d	Relevant past interventions and their outcomes	_____
Clinical Findings	6	Describe the relevant physical examination (PE) findings.	_____
	6h1	Clinical history detail (homeopathic symptoms used for decision, etc.)	_____
Timeline	7	Depict important milestones related to your diagnoses and interventions (table or figure)	_____

HOM-CASE CARE Extension Checklist (2)

Diagnostic Assessment

- 8a Diagnostic methods (such as PE, laboratory testing, imaging, questionnaires)
- 8b Diagnostic challenges (such as financial, language, or cultural)
- 8c Diagnostic reasoning including other diagnoses considered
- 8d Prognostic characteristics (such as staging in oncology) where applicable

Therapeutic Intervention

- 9a Types of intervention (such as pharmacologic, surgical, preventive, self-care)
- 9h2 Type of homeopathy: Individualized / Formula: single- or multi-constituents / Isopathy
- 9h3 Medication(s): Nomenclature (list individual prescriptions or constituents + trade names), manufacture, potency, scale and galenic form
- 9b Administration of intervention (such as dosage, strength, duration)
- 9c Changes in intervention (with rationale)

Follow-up and Outcomes

- 10a Clinician- and patient-assessed outcomes
- 10b Important follow-up test results
- 10c Intervention adherence and tolerability (How was this assessed?)
- 10d Adverse and unanticipated events
- 10h4 Objective evidence (if applicable)

HOM-CASE CARE Extension Checklist (3)

	10h5	Occurrence homeopathic aggravation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	10h6	Possible causal attribution of changes explicitly assessed / discussed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discussion	11a	Discussion of the strengths and limitations in the management of this case	<input type="checkbox"/>
	11b	Discussion of the relevant medical literature	<input type="checkbox"/>
	11c	The rationale for conclusions (including assessment of possible causes)	<input type="checkbox"/>
	11d	The main "take-away" lessons of this case report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Patient Perspective	12	Did the patient share his or her perspective or experience? (Include whenever possible)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Informed Consent	13	Did the patient give informed consent? Please provide if requested	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

Explanation / definition of terms:

10h4: Objective evidence: Findings that reflect expert external observation of any measurement of the patient. Objective evidence includes lab tests, X-ray reports, health care provider examination or observation, or other similar data

10h5: homeopathic aggravation*: A temporary worsening of pre-existing symptom(s) within a plausible timeframe after the administration a homeopathic medicine, followed by an improvement in the patient's condition.

* Definition as currently proposed by the HPUS (Homeopathic Pharmacopoeia of the United States) Clinical Data working group

10h6: causal attribution of changes: Consider using the 'Modified Naranjo criteria'